Introduction

The Association of Pharmacy Technicians United Kingdom (APTUK) is the professional leadership body for pharmacy technicians throughout the United Kingdom. APTUK is also working with other pharmacy bodies and PSNC, the body representing community pharmacies in negotiating the community pharmacy contact, pulling on collective expertise and knowledge.

APTUK is responding to the community pharmacy future proposals cognisant of the professional leadership role and the safeguarding of the current and future role of the pharmacy technicians. Our response also considers the potential impact of funding cuts on the skill mix of the pharmacy team going forwards and the effects this may have on pharmacy services for patients and the public.

APTUK expresses their support for ‘the vision for community pharmacy to be integrated with the wider health and social care system’ and their understanding for the need for efficiencies across all of the NHS. APTUK believes that effective skill mix review and the utilisation of pharmacy technicians, as regulated, registered, accountable and responsible professionals, can contribute to supporting the pharmacy team in delivering quality pharmacy services. APTUK considers that pharmacy technicians are integral to the Government’s objective of a more clinically focused, modern and effect pharmacy sector. It believes that delegation of appropriate tasks to pharmacy technicians is key to advancing pharmacy.

APTUK, recognising this opportunity for change and better patient outcomes, are ready to work with the Government.

However, APTUK are also concerned about the impact of 6% funding cuts on pharmacies, the pharmacy workforce and services to patients and the public at a time when there is significant growth in workload and changing healthcare needs of the population.

The pharmacy workforce

APTUK seeks assurance that the role of the pharmacy technician is seen as pivotal to future pharmaceutical provision. Given this APTUK believes that pharmacy technicians have a key role, within the community pharmacy team, in the delivery of high quality care and operationally managing the timely appropriate use and supply of medicines to patients and the public.

Whist the Government believes that the efficiencies can be made without compromising the quality of services and access to these, APTUK is aware that efficiencies already being applied are cutting staff or employing non-regulated staff at a lower cost. This is causing significant unease and APTUK raises the possibility of unintended workforce consequences, specifically for pharmacy technicians.

The effect of the reduction in the community pharmacy funding is also compounded by other financial burdens such as increases to the National Living Wage. A direct result of the reduced payment will undoubtedly mean a fall in staffing levels and staff working hours as well as cheaper, non-registered staff being employed as an alternative. The estimated numbers of pharmacies that will potentially close as a result of the funding reduction will no doubt impact on patient choice and therefore patient care.

APTUK is concerned that, as a direct result of non-registered staff taking on the roles of registered pharmacy technicians, this will therefore impact on the quality of specific activities and services such as final accuracy checking of dispensed items. APTUK acknowledges that the final accuracy check as a technical process can theoretically be undertaken by any suitably trained and experienced person. However, the final accuracy check is
the last step in the process prior to the medicine being given to the patient and provides an additional opportunity for a ‘patient suitability check’. APTUK raises the question of responsibility and accountability and advocates that the final accuracy check of dispensed medicines should only be undertaken by suitably qualified, knowledgeable and experienced registrants of the Pharmacy regulator. Using staff who do not meet these standards presents a risk for which the Responsible Pharmacist will be accountable. Ultimately it presents a risk to patient safety which APTUK believes is unacceptable. APTUK also advocates that the title Accuracy Checking Technician (ACT) be changed to Accuracy Checking Pharmacy Technician (ACPT) to reflect the professional standing of the individual as a regulated pharmacy professional.

APTUK believes that Community pharmacy does already take an essential role in the Dispensing of medicines. This includes providing advice on medicines use in addition to the promotion of good health, supporting self-care as well as public health services delivered through healthy living pharmacies. In addition, community pharmacy through the current Medicines Use Review (MUR) service and the New Medicines Service (NMS) also currently plays a major role in the optimisation of medicines usage and supports those with long term conditions. The roll out of a National Minor Ailments scheme via all community pharmacies would provide the opportunity for pharmacists, pharmacy technicians and their teams to treat minor illness and injuries and also receive referrals from other care providers. Community Pharmacies already have the provisions in place to prevent ill health amongst its localities and have, for a number of years been supporters of good health. However, this will only continue to be possible with adequate funding and a highly trained workforce.

APTUK understands that currently most NHS funded pharmacies qualify for a variety of fees, despite the quality of service and levels of efficiency of that provider. However, the Government states that ‘more efficient dispensing arrangements remain largely unavailable to pharmacy providers’ but the decrease in funding will constrain this further and so potentially limit efficiency as a result.

Pharmacy Integration Fund

APTUK acknowledges that a pharmacy integration fund will support the development and integration of clinical pharmacy roles. It also acknowledges and stresses that examples provided in the stakeholder briefing presentation can be supported by experienced and competent pharmacy technicians, such as COPD, asthma and hypertension including opportunities for health improvement and wellbeing; pharmacy technicians providing inhaler technique advice. However, the lack of detail as to how this funding will be paid and apportioned fairly and appropriately is a cause for concern, as is the fair allocation of training.

Many NHS pharmacy education and development providers deliver medicines management competency programmes. APTUK therefore emphasises utilising the pharmacy integration fund to support the development and competence of pharmacy technicians and the whole team.

APTUK agree with the Government’s vision for community pharmacy to be integrated with the wider health and social care system thus relieving pressure on both GPs and A&E Departments but this can only be achieved by a highly trained workforce in the community pharmacy sector, delivered by pharmacists and supported by pharmacy technicians. A wide network and choice of pharmacies in locations available and accessible to the people is essential. This will guarantee best possible use of medicines so producing improved patient outcomes and value. Community Pharmacy already supports the promotion of healthy lifestyles and ill health prevention on top of contributing to the delivery of a seven day healthcare service.

The development and training of the whole pharmacy workforce to make patient facing roles the norm can only be achieved through adequate funding. The community pharmacy arrangement has already proved its worth in numerous ways and APTUK applauds the attributes of the sector and its evolution into the clinical network it has now become and can benefit from, across the whole of the UK.

However, it is essential that the sector works in collaboration with Public Health England in order to expand the value proposition for community pharmacy to support the commissioning of local health and wellbeing services by local authorities and aspire to the Healthy Living Pharmacy image. This can only continue with sufficient resource.
Services such as MUR and NMS, as mentioned above, currently optimises medicines so placing patients at the core of decision making and are able to follow this up with regular monitoring and review. The notion of ‘Clinical pharmacists in GP practices, able to prescribe medicines and working side by side with GPs, supporting better health and prevention of ill-health’ is essential, but this should be in addition to pharmacists based, as they are currently, in community pharmacies and not seen as a replacement of the latter.

The concept of ‘Clinical pharmacists working in care homes, working with residents and staff to make the most of medicines’ is not something new; it is already in place and provided by community pharmacists, pharmacy technicians and their teams every day.

‘Clinical’ pharmacists in every pharmacy in the UK are already ‘helping patients who have urgent problems, at the end of the phone or on the internet’. This service is currently being provided by community pharmacies free of charge, to their patients’ every day. It is important that this vital valued service continues and is funded accordingly.

APTUK agree that Pharmacists should be freed up to support patients to make the most of their medicines, promote health and provide advice to help people live better but this can only be achieved by utilising the skills of registered pharmacy technicians. This, in addition to the skills of the wider pharmacy team, will enable the support and delivery of high quality patient centred healthcare. APTUK supports and believes that this will mirror the medicines optimisation model employed in hospital pharmacy.

The simplification of the NHS pharmacy remuneration payment system is needed as the present system is too complex and as the Government states, fails to promote ‘efficient and high quality services’. The establishment payment of £25,000 per annum is currently received by all pharmacies dispensing 2,500 plus prescriptions per month. This is a fairly low prescription number which then gives the incentive for pharmacy companies to open additional NHS funded pharmacies at a cost to the UK taxpayer. As the Government proposes that the establishment payment is phased out over a number of years, APTUK suggests that the payment should be made proportionally based on workload and prescription numbers.

Modernising the system to maximise choice and convenience for patients and the public

APTUK believes that the current community pharmacy network already provides provision for patient and public choice and convenience. This is currently demonstrated by the vast network of community pharmacies and current competition within the sector. Patients and the public benefit from a range of ordering, collection and delivery services, from local pharmacies within their neighbourhood and have the freedom of choice to go wherever their preference. Pharmacy is different to other sectors in that it is a healthcare service provision available to all. Some patients find it easier to order their prescriptions online and via the internet where this proves convenient for them yet others prefer more conventional methods. APTUK believes that patient choice and convenience needs to be cognisant of the patients’ need, based on gender, age, circumstances etc. This is to ensure there are no barriers to accessing their medicines, given that the national statistics inform of an increasing aging population who may have limited IT access.

Online ordering, click and collect and home delivery are all services currently operated by community pharmacies, yet the current payment systems do not compensate for such advances in the network. The Government states that it ‘wants to ensure that the regulatory framework and payments system facilitates online, delivery to door and click and collect pharmacy and prescription services’ yet the reduction in funding further strains the sector with the provision of such advances. The promised promotion of patient choice and convenience when ordering prescriptions is conflicting to the potential reduced workforce and number of pharmacies available to the public.

APTUK believes that patients should be offered the choice of home delivery or collection when ordering their prescriptions. It is a concern that if the pharmacy funding is reduced in such a way, this could mean fewer pharmacies with potentially less professional staff. This in turn could limit supply options and consequently compromise patient compliance.
Making efficiencies

APTUK acknowledges that pharmacies need to become more efficient and innovative by introducing more modern dispensing methods. However, failure to clearly distinguish between hub and spoke and centralised dispensing has been a particular cause for concern. The imminent consultation on changes to medicines legislation to allow the ‘hub and spoke’ dispensing model across different legal entities is a positive move which would allow independent pharmacies to apply the efficiencies of the larger-scale, automated dispensing. APTUK agree that this could enable the independents to reduce their stock holding and benefit from the economies of scale in purchasing and delivery of stock to the hubs. The results of which could potentially help pharmacies lower their operating costs and enable pharmacists, registered pharmacy technicians and the wider team to make available added clinical services and so support and improve public health and wellbeing.

APTUK believe that the General Pharmaceutical Council (GPhC) should have a position on where the responsibility and accountability will be in terms of the supply of medicines in a hub and spoke or centralised dispensing environment. This is particularly relevant where a second pharmacist or pharmacy technician manages the supply from a different location.

Pharmacy Access Scheme

APTUK disagrees with the Government when they state that ‘these efficiencies can be made without compromising the quality of services or public access to them because there are more pharmacies than are necessary to maintain good patient access’. It is vital that the access to pharmacies in England remains as ‘excellent’ where 99% of the population can get to a pharmacy within 20 minutes by car and 96% by walking or public transport. We also note, from the Government’s briefing paper, that access is greater in areas of highest deprivation. APTUK are concerned about the proposal for a national formula to be used in order to identify pharmacies which are the most geographically important for patient access. We believe this needs to be more than just taking into account an isolation criteria based on travel times or distances, and also population size and needs. The proposal that includes the population needs variables which should be included are limited and APTUK disagree with this as no impact assessment has been undertaken to determine the consequences of such a scheme.

Although the Government states that it is ‘committed to maintaining access to pharmacies and pharmacy services, and will consult on the introduction of a Pharmacy Access Scheme, which would provide more NHS funds to certain pharmacies compared to others, considering factors such as location and the health needs of the local population’, APTUK feels that an impact assessment is crucial to this and until this has been conducted, the proposal is unsupported and illusory.

Longer prescription durations

APTUK understands the reasons behind the Government suggesting they would like to encourage longer prescription durations where clinically appropriate and is aware of the advantages but also of the potential disadvantages. An advantage is when there is no clinical need for a 28-day repeat prescription and this can prove to be an inconvenience for the patient. Repeat dispensing may be an option in this case and would require a clinical intervention from the pharmacist in order to make the supply. Currently this is not remunerated adequately and would require review.

Some prescribers do already prescribe 84-day repeat prescriptions when clinically appropriate but this can result in greater wastage of medicines. This is a substantial waste of tax payer’s money. APTUK considers that pharmacy technician skills and competence could be utilised to manage the potential risk of increased waste, mirroring aspects of practise in secondary care and in the devolved countries.
Summary and final comments

APTUK, in summary, support community pharmacy being at the forefront of patient care but disagree with the proposal for the 6% reduction in funding. This is due to unforeseen consequences and the impact this may have on the community pharmacy network and the staff working in this sector.

APTUK feels that this will increase workplace pressure within the pharmacy team, as staffing levels and skill mix are reviewed and potentially compromised. This in turn will affect the motivation of staff, will reduce staff training and development and potentially destabilise the pharmacy workforce.

There is currently an under supply of pharmacy technicians and pre-registration trainee pharmacy technicians within both the managed and community sector. Reduction in community pharmacy funding will compound this and may affect plans for future pharmacy services. This will have a detrimental effect on the provision of pharmaceutical care for those with long term conditions and the elderly given the increase in population and changing demographics, at a time when there is the potential increased role for the community pharmacy team.

In addition to this, APTUK feels strongly that there should be parity of funding between both sets of pre-registration trainees to ensure pharmacy staff are competent to delivery future services as outlined in the DH stakeholder briefing statement for community pharmacy 2016/17 and beyond. This needs to align with other national projects currently in progress such as the GPhC pharmacy technician initial education and training standards, the National Occupational Standards review and subsequent qualifications.

APTUK support the need for fully integrated care and are aware of pharmacy technicians currently working within community services. Pharmacy technicians are undertaking, alongside the integrated care pharmacist, domiciliary visits to those patients who require additional care and support, ensuring joint decisions are made to meet their individual needs.

APTUK supports the ideal of fully integrated information technology in order to provide patient opportunities for different supply and delivery models, however is conscious of the potential problems that can arise with online processes. As a consequence, we require assurances that the infrastructure and systems are reliable and fit for purpose to ensure continuing supply of medicines.

APTUK, despite the short timescale given for a response, are pleased to have the opportunity to respond to this consultation on behalf of the pharmacy technician profession.
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